Bose-Hubbard Models with Gauge Fields: Frustrated Quantum Spins

Nigel Cooper University of Cambridge

"Quantum Gases in Synthetic Gauge Fields" IAS, Tsinghua University, Beijing 27 August 2010

[Gunnar Möller & NRC, PRL 103, 105303 (2009) & unpublished]

Overview

- Strongly Correlated Phases of Atomic Bose Gases
- Bose-Hubbard Model + Gauge Fields
- 1) Uniform Flux: Strongly Correlated Phases
- 2) Staggered Flux: Condensed Phases
- Summary

Strongly Correlated Phases of Atomic Bose Gases

(1) Optical Lattice

[Bloch, Dalibard & Zwerger, RMP 80, 885 (2008)]



Bose-Hubbard model

[Jaksch et al., PRL 81, 3108 (1998)]

$$\hat{H} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[\hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j + h.c. \right] + \frac{1}{2}U\sum_i \hat{n}_i(\hat{n}_i - 1) - \mu \sum_i \hat{n}_i$$

Strongly correlated regime for $U/J \gg 1$ at particle density $n \sim 1$.

- T = 0: competition between
- superfluid (BEC)
- Mott insulators, at n = 1, 2, ...

[Fisher et al., PRB 40, 546 (1989)]



Transition to Mott insulator observed in experiment [Greiner et al., Nature 415, 39 (2002); ...]

Strongly Correlated Phases of Atomic Bose Gases

(2) Rapid Rotation

Rotation frequency, Ω

Quantized vortices

Vortex density $n_{\rm v} = \frac{2M\Omega}{h}$

[Coddington et al. [JILA], PRA **70**, 063607 (2004)]

Strong correlation regime for $\Omega\to\omega_\perp$

[Bloch, Dalibard & Zwerger, RMP 80, 885 (2008)]



Filling Factor $\nu \equiv \frac{n_{\rm 2d}}{n_{\rm v}}$

Critical filling factor $\nu_{\rm c}\simeq 6$

• $\nu > \nu_{\rm c}$: Vortex Lattice (BEC)

• $\nu < \nu_c$: *Bosonic* versions of fractional quantum Hall states: Laughlin, hierarchy/CF, Moore-Read & Read-Rezayi phases, smectic +...?

[For a review, see: NRC, Adv. Phys. **57**, 539 (2008)]

Experimental challenges:

- the interaction scale at $\nu \sim 1$ is small;
- rotating gas susceptible to "heating" by static perturbations.

Bose-Hubbard Model + Gauge Fields

[Jaksch & Zoller (2003); Mueller (2004); Sørensen, Demler & Lukin (2005); Gerbier & Dalibard (2010)]

Bose-Hubbard model with "magnetic field" (2D square lattice)

$$\hat{H} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \left[\hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j e^{iA_{ij}} + h.c. \right] + \frac{1}{2}U\sum_i \hat{n}_i(\hat{n}_i - 1) - \mu \sum_i \hat{n}_i$$



What are the groundstates for interacting bosons?

Uniform n_v : Single particle spectrum is the "Hofstadter butterfly"

[Harper, Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. A 68, 874 (1955); Hofstadter, PRB 14, 2239 (1976)]



 $n, n_v \ll 1 \Rightarrow$ continuum limit [Sørensen, Demler & Lukin, PRL (2005); Hafezi *et al.*, PRA (2007)]

Are there new strongly correlated phases on the lattice for $n \sim n_{
m v} \sim 1?$

Hard-core limit, $U \gg J$

 $0 \le n_i \le 1 \Rightarrow \text{spin-1/2 system:} \hat{s}_i^z = \hat{n}_i - \frac{1}{2}, \ \hat{s}_i^+ = \hat{a}_i^\dagger, \ \hat{s}_i^- = \hat{a}_i$

$$\hat{H} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \left[\hat{s}_i^+ \hat{s}_j^- e^{iA_{ij}} + h.c.\right] - \mu \sum_i \hat{s}_i^z + \text{const.}$$

Frustrated quantum magnet.

Mean-field theory: $\vec{s} = S(\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta)$

 $H = -2JS^2 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \cos(\phi_i - \phi_j + A_{ij}) - \mu S \sum_i \cos \theta_i$



Are there "spin-liquid" phases?

[NB Energy scale, J, is large!]

(1) Uniform Flux: Strongly Correlated Phases

(1) Uniform Flux: Strongly Correlated Phases

Composite Fermions

[Jain, Read, Girvin, Fradkin,...]

Interacting electrons in magnetic field \Rightarrow non-interacting *composite fermions*.



Composite fermion = bound state of an electron with two flux quanta.

Composite Fermions

Rapidly rotating bosons in the continuum

Composite fermion = a bound state of a boson with *one vortex*.

[NRC & Wilkin, PRB 80, 16279 (1999)]

$$\Psi_{\rm B}(\{\boldsymbol{r}_i\}) \propto \mathcal{P}_{LLL} \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j) \psi_{\rm CF}(\{\boldsymbol{r}_i\}) \qquad \left[z = (x + iy)/\ell \quad \ell = 1/\sqrt{2\pi n_{\rm v}}\right]$$

$$n_{\rm v}^{\rm CF} = n_{\rm v} - n$$

CFs fill p Landau levels for

$$\frac{n}{n_{\rm v}^{\rm CF}} = \pm p \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \nu = \frac{n}{n_{\rm v}} = \frac{p}{p \pm 1}$$

 $\Rightarrow (trial) \text{ incompressible states of interacting bosons,} \\ \text{describe exact groundstates well for } \nu = 1/2, 2/3, (3/4) \\ \text{[NRC & Wilkin, PRB (1999); Regnault & Jolicoeur, PRL (2003); ...]}$

Lattice: CF spectrum is the "Hofstadter butterfly"



Filled band of CFs at $(n, n_v^{CF}) \Rightarrow$ trial incompressible state of bosons at (n, n_v)

There can exist incompressible states with no counterpart in the continuum

Gaps for non-interacting CFs

[G. Möller & NRC, PRL 103, 105303 (2009)]



band-gaps

Do these new phases describe the exact groundstates?

Numerical Methods

• Exact Diagonalization

 $L_x \times L_y$ square lattice, with periodic boundary conditions (torus).

$$N = nL_x L_y$$
$$N_v = n_v L_x L_y$$



• Low-energy spectrum (Lanczos) for hard-core interactions.

• Limited by finite size effects, $N \leq 6$.

CF states stabilized by the lattice

Evidence for strongly correlated states at a series of these new cases.



On $n_v = \frac{1}{2}(1-n)$: Groundstate is consistent with the CF state for $n \lesssim 1/5$.

- Uncondensed.
- Large overlaps with a trial CF state.
- Correct groundstate degeneracy on the torus (1).
- Correct Chern number (2).

Evidence for wider applicability of CF ansatz.

(2) Staggered Flux: Condensed Phases

(2) Staggered Flux: Condensed Phases

Staggered Fluxes

Easiest to generate in scheme of [Gerbier & Dalibard (2010)]

 $A_{ij} = 2\pi\alpha y_i (x_i - x_j) \times (-1)^{x_i}$



cf. checkerboard staggered fluxes [Lim, Morais Smith & Hemmerich, PRL 100, 130402 (2008)]

Staggered Fluxes: Single Particle Spectrum

$$\psi_i = e^{i(k_x x_i + k_y y_i)} \times \begin{cases} \psi_e & x_i \text{ even} \\ \psi_o e^{i2\pi\alpha y_i} & x_i \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Groundstate is two-fold degenerate for $\alpha > \alpha_c \simeq 0.29$.



Gross-Pitaevskii Mean-Field Theory

Fully condensed state

$$\Psi\rangle = \left(\sum_{i} \psi_{i}^{c} \ \hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger}\right)^{N} |0\rangle$$

Energy per particle $(N \gg 1)$

$$\frac{\langle \hat{H} \rangle}{N} = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[\psi_i^{c*} \psi_j^c e^{iA_{ij}} + \psi_j^{c*} \psi_i^c e^{iA_{ji}} \right] + \frac{U}{2} N \sum_i |\psi_i^c|^4$$

Weak Coupling, $nU \ll J$

 $\psi^c \simeq A\psi_{k_A} + B\psi_{k_B} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \text{minimize } \sum_i |\psi_i^c|^4$

 $|A|, |B| \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ broken translational invariance

Mean-Field Theory: Results

$$\underline{\alpha = 1/2} \qquad k_y^A - k_y^B = \pi$$

$$\psi_{\pm}^{c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\psi_{k_{A}} \pm i \psi_{k_{B}} \right]$$

• Broken translational invariance $(\Delta y = 2)$

- Broken time-reversal symmetry $_{y}$
- "Staggered Flux" Phase





$$\underline{\alpha = 0.389} \qquad k_y^A - k_y^B = 2\pi/3$$



$$\psi^c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\psi_{k_A} + e^{i\phi} \psi_{k_B} \right]$$

- Interaction energy independent of $\phi \Rightarrow$ "Goldstone" mode.
- Increasing nU/J selects $\phi = 0, \pm 2\pi/3$.
- Broken translational invariance $(\Delta y = 3)$

Numerical Methods

• Exact Diagonalization

 $L_x \times L_y$ square lattice, with periodic boundary conditions (torus).

 $N = nL_xL_y$

• Low-energy spectrum (Lanczos).

• Limited by finite size effects, $N \leq 12$.

Condensed Fraction

Single-particle density matrix of the groundstate

$$\rho_{ij} = \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j | \Psi_0 \rangle$$

"Simple" BEC: One eigenvalue, λ_0 , is of order N.

Condensate fraction

[Yang, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34, 694 (1962)]

$$x_c \equiv \frac{\lambda_0}{N}$$

Condensate wavefunction from eigenvector.

Condensed Fraction with Symmetry Breaking

If the condensed state has D-fold degeneracy, related by symmetries of the underlying Hamiltonian (e.g. D = 2 for staggered flux phase)

- Exact groundstate is "fragmented", with D large eigenvalues.
- Lowest energy spectrum breaks into *D*-fold (quasi-) degenerate states.

[e.g. Mueller, Ho, Ueda & Baym, PRA (2006)]

Condensed Fraction with Symmetry Breaking

General Numerical Method

(1) From the energy spectrum, identify an emerging quasi-degenerate set of groundstates $|\Psi_0^{\mu}\rangle$ ($\mu = 1, D$).

(2) Construct
$$|\Psi^c\rangle \equiv \sum_{\mu=1}^D c_\mu |\Psi_0^\mu\rangle.$$

(3) Maximize the eigenvalue of the resulting single-particle density matrix

$$X_c \equiv \max_{c_{\mu}} \left[x_c(c_{\mu}) \right]$$

 \Rightarrow condensate fraction and condensate wavefunction.

Condensate fraction for $\alpha = 1/2$

MFT Staggered flux state
$$(D=2)$$
, ψ^c_{\pm} .

Exact results

• The inferred condensed state is *exactly* the mean-field state.



Condensate fraction for $\alpha = 0.389$

<u>MFT</u>

- $nU \ll J$ continuous degeneracy (additional Goldstone mode)
- Increasing nU/J selects $\phi = 0, \pm 2\pi/3$. (D = 3)

Exact results assuming D = 3

• Qualitative agreement with MFT state for $nU/J \gtrsim 0.01$



Expansion Imaging

Expansion under \hat{H}_{free} : no potentials, no gauge field, (neglect interactions) After time t

$$n(\boldsymbol{x}) = (M/\hbar t)^3 |\tilde{w}(\boldsymbol{k})|^2 G(\boldsymbol{k})$$

 $m{k}=Mm{x}/\hbar t$, $ilde{w}(m{k})$ from Wannier orbital [Bloch, Dalibard & Zwerger, RMP (2008)]

$$G(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i,j} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)} \langle \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \rangle$$

$$\simeq \sum_{i,j} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)} \psi_i^{c^*} \psi_j^c \qquad \text{[pure condensate]}$$

$$\hat{H} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \left[\hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j e^{iA_{ij}} + h.c. \right] + \frac{1}{2}U\sum_i \hat{n}_i(\hat{n}_i - 1) - \mu \sum_i \hat{n}_i$$

Change of gauge $A_{ij} \rightarrow A'_{ij} = A_{ij} + S_i - S_j$

Removed by the redefinition $\hat{a}_i
ightarrow \hat{a}_i' \equiv \hat{a}_i e^{-iS_i}$

All physical properties of \hat{H} (spectrum, response functions, ...) are gauge-invariant.

Density matrix

$$\langle \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \rangle \to \langle \hat{a}_i^{\prime \dagger} \hat{a}_j^{\prime} \rangle = e^{i(S_i - S_j)} \langle \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \rangle$$

The expansion image, under \hat{H}_{free} , is sensitive to $S_i \Rightarrow$ "gauge-dependent".

Example: Staggered flux phase

• In our gauge, the two states ψ^c_\pm are related by $\psi^c_- = (\psi^c_+)^*$



• In the gauge of [Lim, Morais Smith & Hemmerich, PRL 100, 130402 (2008)]

 $\psi^c_+ = 1$ $\psi^c_- = (-1)^x e^{i\pi/2[\text{mod}(x+y,2)]}$



2. Phase Imprinting

Use potentials/optical dressing to imprint of phases e^{iS_i} prior to release.

e.g. $S_i = \epsilon \times \text{mod}(x_i, 2) \text{mod}(y_i, 2)$



 $\epsilon \neq 0$ distinguishes staggered flux phases, $G_+({\bm k}) \neq G_-({\bm k})$



Summary

• Atomic Bose gases on a lattice with gauge fields offer the possibility to explore interesting strong correlation phenomena:

- the FQHE of bosons (at large energy scales);
- the interplay of the FQHE and lattice periodicity;
- equivalent to a class of frustrated quantum magnets (energy scale J).

(1) Uniform Flux

• A generalized composite fermion construction leads to the prediction of strongly correlated phases of bosons, including states which are stabilized by the lattice.

• We find numerical evidence for the appearance of these phases for several of the predicted cases. This shows a wider applicability of the CF construction.

(2) Staggered Flux

• Allowing for translational symmetry breaking, we find that exact diagonalization studies are consistent with the mean-field groundstates.

• Expansion images are gauge dependent. Imprinting phase patterns before expansion can give useful additional information.