# The realization of itinerant ferromagnetism in an atomic Fermi gas



#### Gareth Conduit<sup>1, 2</sup>, Ben Simons<sup>3</sup> & Ehud Altman<sup>1</sup>

1. Weizmann Institute, 2. Ben Gurion University, 3. University of Cambridge

G.J. Conduit & B.D. Simons, Phys. Rev. A 79, 053606 (2009)
G.J. Conduit, A.G. Green & B.D. Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 207201 (2009)
G.J. Conduit & B.D. Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 200403 (2009)
G.J Conduit & E. Altman, Phys. Rev. A 82, 043603 (2010)
G.J. Conduit, Phys. Rev. A 82, 043604 (2010)

# What is itinerant ferromagnetism?

Localized ferromagnetism: moments confined in real space

# 

Itinerant ferromagnetism: electrons in Bloch wave states



### Stoner instability with repulsive interactions

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + g \sum_{kk'q} c^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{k'+q\downarrow} c_{k'+q\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow}$$

Following a mean-field approximation

$$E = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\sigma}(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) + g N_{\uparrow} N_{\downarrow}$$

- A Fermi surface shift increases the kinetic energy and potential energy falls
- Ferromagnetic transition occurs if  $g \nu > 1$

Conduit & Simons, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 053606 (2009) Jo, Lee, Choi, Christensen, Kim, Thywissen, Pritchard & Ketterle, Science **325**, 1521 (2009)

# Not magnetised E $V_{\downarrow}(E)$



# Atomic gases: a new forum for many-body physics

A gas of atoms simulates electrons in a solid



- Key experimental advantages:
  - Magnetic field controls interaction strength
  - Clean system
  - Contact interaction



#### **Experimental evidence for ferromagnetism**



Jo, Lee, Choi, Christensen, Kim, Thywissen, Pritchard & Ketterle, Science 325, 1521 (2009)

#### Further key experimental signatures

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $E_{\rm K} \propto n^{5/3}$ 

$$\Gamma \propto (k_{\rm F}a)^6 n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} (n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow})$$

Jo, Lee, Choi, Christensen, Kim, Thywissen, Pritchard & Ketterle, Science **325**, 1521 (2009)

# Mean-field analysis & consequences of trap

- Recovers qualitative behavior<sup>1</sup> but transition at k<sub>F</sub>a=1.8 instead of k<sub>F</sub>a=2.2
- Fluctuation corrections: k<sub>F</sub>a=1.1
- QMC calculations:  $k_{F}a=0.8$

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

<sup>1</sup>LeBlanc, Thywissen, Burkov & Paramekanti, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 013607 (2009) & GJC & Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 200403 (2009)

#### **Consequences of atom loss**

 Three-body loss can damp fluctuations inhibiting ferromagnetism [GJC & Altman, arXiv:0911.2839]

 Pairing instability supported by Fermi surface [Pekker et al., arXiv:1005.2366]

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

 Defects freeze out from paramagnetic state and undergo mutual annihilation [GJC & Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 200403 (2009)]

#### Alternative strategy: spin spiral

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

(b) Magnetic field gradient forms spin spiral

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

(c) Interactions cant the spiral

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Spin spiral collective modes

 Exponentially growing collective modes if *p*<Q [GJC & Altman, PRA 82, 043603 (2010)]

$$\Omega(q) = \pm \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2^{2/3} 3}{5k_F a}\right) q \sqrt{q^2 - Q^2}$$

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Outlook

- First order transition
- Textured phase

- Mass imbalance
- SU(N) spins
- Two-dimensional itinerant ferromagnetism

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

# Summary

- Equilibrium theory provides a reasonable qualitative description of the transition
- Dynamical effects can provide a better description of ferromagnetism but also disrupt the ferromagnetic phase
- Circumvent three-body loss by studying the evolution of a spin spiral
- Answer long-standing questions about solid state ferromagnetism and motivate new research arenas