## Mike Payne Celebratory Symposium

# Protein dynamics and structure prediction in biological networks

Ashok Venkitaraman

The Ursula Zoellner Professor of Cancer Research & Director, Medical Research Council (MRC) Cancer Unit at the University of Cambridge





## Biological perspectives: protein structure & dynamics



The human genome: ~25,000 genes Potentially encoding >100K protein species



Low-resolution structure of Myoglobin Kendrew & Perutz

## Biological perspectives: protein structure & dynamics



The energy landscape of protein folding: Many unfolded structures, a few low-energy folded states Dill & MacCallum, Science (2012)

Macromolecular interactions alter protein structure dynamics & mediate function

# Mike Payne (c. 2005) ..... 18 months of learning over lunches!

**Quantum Mechanical Calculations** 

(= ab initio = first principles)

Atomic Numbers

Solve the quantum mechanical equations for the **electrons** 

Predict physical and chemical properties of systems

Impact of Disruptive Hardware and Software

Quantum mechanical atomistic simulations: 2 atoms in 1981, 400 atoms in 1991.

Increase in computational effort of this calculation using 1981 techniques at least 10<sup>8</sup>.

Increase in power of hardware in this period ~100.

Hence, disruptive software technologies increased efficiency of calculation in this period by at least 10<sup>6</sup>.

Hardware improvement has been continuous (Moore's Law) - software improvements are not continuous.

## Mike Payne (c. 2005)

### **ONETEP - Linear Scaling Density Matrix DFT**

ONETEP Linear scaling quantum mechanical calculations

Peter Haynes Arash Mostofi Mike Payne Cavendish Laboratory

Chris Kriton Skylaris University of Southampton



• Optimise non–orthogonal localised functions  $\{\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})\}\$  linear instead of orthogonal extended wavefunctions  $\{\psi_{n}(\mathbf{r})\}\$  scaling

• Aim: to achieve the same accuracy as traditional plane-wave methods

# Controlling DNA synthesis initiation – inhibitors of CDK2/CDK4



Visualizing the cell division cycle Daniels & Venkitaraman (c. 2003) Cyclin dependent kinase (CDK) structure



#### ATP-binding catalytic site



## Controlling the cell cycle - inhibitors of CDK2/CDK4

#### RETURN TO ISSUE < PREV ARTICLE NEXT >

#### Novel Structural Features of CDK Inhibition Revealed by an ab Initio Computational Method Combined with Dynamic Simulations

Lucy Heady, Marivi Fernandez-Serra, Ricardo L. Mancera, Sian Joyce, Ashok R. Venkitaraman, Emilio Artacho, Chris-Kriton Skylaris, Lucio Colombi Ciacchi and Mike C. Payne

#### View Author Information $^{\scriptstyle \lor}$





lournal of

Medicinal

Chemistry

Convergence of binding energy values (kcal/mol) with increasing CDK fragment size using ONETEP

The K89 residue in CDK2 forms H-bonds with SU9516, but T89 in CDK4 cannot

## Directing enzyme activity – substrate binding to PLK1



How does a single substrate-engaging structure engage multiple binders?

Visualizing the cell division cycle Daniels & Venkitaraman (c. 2003)

The substrate-binding Polo Box domain (PBD) of PLK1

Directing enzyme activity - substrate binding to PLK1



BROWSE PUBLISH ABOUT

🔓 OPEN ACCESS 尨 PEER-REVIEWED

RESEARCH ARTICLE

### Computational Analysis of Phosphopeptide Binding to the Polo-Box Domain of the Mitotic Kinase PLK1 Using Molecular Dynamics Simulation

David J. Huggins , Grahame J. McKenzie, Daniel D. Robinson, Ana J. Narváez, Bryn Hardwick, Meredith Roberts-Thomson, Ashok R. Venkitaraman, Guy H. Grant, Mike C. Payne

### Directing enzyme activity - substrate binding to PLK1



Stabilization or displacement of a dynamic network of water molecules (A-K) modulates the binding affinity of different PLK1 PBD substrates

# Allosteric control of enzyme activity – the BRCA2 / RAD51 interaction



BROWSE PUBLISH ABOUT

RESEARCH ARTICLE

### Interrogation of the Protein-Protein Interactions between Human BRCA2 BRC Repeats and RAD51 Reveals Atomistic Determinants of Affinity

Daniel J. Cole, Eeson Rajendra, Meredith Roberts-Thomson, Bryn Hardwick, Grahame J. McKenzie, Mike C. Payne, Ashok R. Venkitaraman , Chris-Kriton Skylaris

Future impact: delivering the clinical impact of personalized medicine

- Formulation of a new taxonomy of human diseases, based on the integration of their molecular and clinical features
- Discovery and validation of robust, clinically applicable biomarkers to individualize patient management
- Creation of an enhanced repertoire of drugs and clinical interventions suited to individual patients

## The status quo is not an option

- The cost of bringing a new medicine to market is estimated by pharma companies to exceed \$1BN. The time taken exceeds 10 years. Yet, the failure rate may approach 70-90%.
- The status quo is not an option ....We need to more rapidly and cheaply develop 'next generation' drugs to fuel the personalized treatment of diseases like cancer.

### The global unmet need is acute

Share of population with cancer, 2016

Share of total population with any form of cancer, measured as the age-standardized percentage. This share has been age-standardized assuming a constant age structure to compare prevalence between countries and through time



CC BY

Our World in Data

Accelerating next-generation medicines - our work at the MRC Cancer Unit in Cambridge



## Many happy returns, Mike!