## Theoretical Physics 1 <br> Answers to Examination 2004

Warning - these answers have been completely retyped...
Please report any typos/errors to emt1000@cam.ac.uk
Q1. The Lagrangian, depending on positions and velocities of all particles is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{M}{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{R}}^{2}+\frac{m}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \dot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{\alpha}^{2}-U \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A brief discussion of $L=T-U$, depending on $\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$ should be here. The
(holonomic) constraint of fixed centre of mass reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \boldsymbol{R}+m \sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In suggested relative coordinates, $\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{R}$, one can directly express

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M+m n) \boldsymbol{R}+m \sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}=0, \quad \text { or } \quad \boldsymbol{R}=-\frac{m}{M+m n} \sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into the Lagrangian and expanding the square under the sum, after two lines of algebra we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{m}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}_{\alpha}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{m^{2}}{M+m n}\left(\sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}_{\alpha}\right)^{2}-U, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which only has $n$ independent variables $\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}$. The canonical momenta are obtained directly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{\alpha}}=m \boldsymbol{v}_{\alpha}-\frac{m^{2}}{M+m n}\left(\sum_{\beta} \boldsymbol{v}_{\beta}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian is, by definition, $H=\sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha} \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\alpha}-L$, but in order to complete the change of variables to $\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}\right)$ we need to express $\boldsymbol{v}_{\alpha}=\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\alpha}$ from eq.(5). This may be done in many ways, one is to sum the eq.(5) over $\alpha$ to express $\sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}=\frac{m M}{M+m n} \sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}_{\alpha}$. After this, one easily obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{m} \boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{M}\left(\sum_{\beta} \boldsymbol{p}_{\beta}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, after substitution into the definition of Hamiltonian and another line of algebra, the final result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2 m} \sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 M}\left(\sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+U \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(There are simpler ways of obtaining this expression directly.)
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Q2. You may or may not remember that the relevant angular velocity in this case is equal to $\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta \dot{\phi}^{2}\right)$. The hint is designed to help those who don't: the full kinetic energy is $\frac{1}{2} I_{1} \Omega_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} I_{2} \Omega_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} I_{3} \Omega_{3}^{2}$, in principal axes. With $I_{1}=I_{2}=I_{\perp}$ and $I_{3}=0$ the Lagrangian reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} I_{\perp}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta \dot{\phi}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \kappa(\ell \sin \theta / 2)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[since the potential energy $U=\frac{1}{2} \kappa(\Delta x)^{2}$ ].
Canonical momenta:

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{\theta} & =I_{\perp} \dot{\theta}  \tag{9}\\
p_{\phi} & =I_{\perp} \dot{\phi} \sin ^{2} \theta, \quad \text { so } \quad \dot{\phi}=\frac{p_{\phi}}{I_{\perp} \sin ^{2} \theta}
\end{align*}
$$

The Hamiltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{p_{\theta}^{2}}{2 I_{\perp}}+\frac{p_{\phi}^{2}}{2 I_{\perp} \sin ^{2} \theta}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \ell^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta / 2 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamilton equations $(\dot{p}=-\partial H / \partial q, \dot{q}=\partial H / \partial p$ take the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{p}_{\theta} & =\frac{p_{\phi}^{2} \cos \theta}{I_{\perp} \sin ^{3} \theta}-\frac{\kappa \ell^{2} \sin \theta}{4} \\
\dot{p}_{\phi} & =0 \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

(the second equation suggests the conservation of $z$-angular momentum, but it is not equivalent to saying $p \dot{h} i=$ const).
Substituting the eq.(9) into this, we can obtain the dynamic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\perp} \ddot{\theta}=\sin \theta\left[I_{\perp} \dot{\phi}^{2} \cos \theta-\frac{\kappa \ell^{2}}{4}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The steady state is possible when the bracket in the r.h.s. is held at zero.
For a constant $\dot{\phi}=\Omega$ this is achieved when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{0}=\frac{\kappa \ell^{2}}{4 I_{\perp} \Omega^{2}} \equiv \frac{3 \kappa}{m \Omega^{2}} \leq 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in this stable equilibrium state $\dot{\theta}=$ const $=0$ ).
To find the small oscillations about this equilibrium, expand the r.h.s. in powers of small deviation: $\theta=\theta_{0}+\Delta(t)$. It is easier than it may look, because only the leading, linear term is required. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\Delta}=-\Delta \Omega^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}=-\Delta \Omega^{2}\left(1-\frac{9 \kappa^{2}}{m^{2} \Omega^{4}}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Q3. For the constant force $F$, the potential energy is $U=-F q$ (giving the coordinate the name $q$ ). The relativistic Lagrangian function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=-\frac{m_{0} c^{2}}{\gamma}-U=-m_{0} c^{2} \sqrt{1-\dot{q}^{2} / c^{2}}+F q \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(writing the kinetic energy from memory would be sufficient, but you can derive it, if you've forgotten its form).
Straight from the lecture notes and exercises, the canonical momentum is

$$
p=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}=\frac{m_{0} v}{\sqrt{1-v^{2} / c^{2}}}, \quad \text { so } v^{2}=\frac{c^{2} p^{2}}{m_{0}^{2} c^{2}+p^{2}}
$$

Substituting this into the Hamiltonian, $H=p \dot{q}-L$, you will easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=c \sqrt{p^{2}+m_{0}^{2} c^{2}}-F q, \quad \text { so } \mathcal{E}_{0}=m_{0} c^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the energy conservation (which you expect, since no explicit time dependence is present), you must write the full derivative

$$
\frac{d H}{d t}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \dot{q}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \dot{p} .
$$

This is zero when the Hamilton equations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{p} & =-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}=F  \tag{17}\\
\dot{q} & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}=\frac{c p}{\sqrt{p^{2}+m_{0}^{2} c^{2}}}
\end{align*}
$$

The first equation integrates directly, to give $p=F t$ (with the given initial condition). Substituting this $p=p(t)$ into the second equation, we obtain

$$
q=\int \frac{c F t d t}{\sqrt{F^{2} t^{2}+m_{0}^{2} c^{2}}}
$$

The integration is very easy; taking care of the initial condition $q(0)=0$ gives the answer

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{m_{0} c^{2}}{F}\left[-1+\sqrt{1+\frac{F^{2} t^{2}}{m_{0}^{2} c^{2}}}\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time derivative of this looks a bit messy, but in the limits of short and long time it takes the expected forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \approx\left(F / m_{0}\right) t \quad\left(t \ll \frac{m_{0} c}{F}\right) \quad v \approx c-\frac{m_{0}^{2} c^{3}}{2 F^{2} t^{2}} \quad(t \rightarrow \infty) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(just declaring that $v \approx c$ would do as well).
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Q4. The first step is to Fourier-transform the force in the r.h.s. Please don't be confused by the (much more complicated) FT of the step-function that was discussed in the lectures. The problem to overcome there, and the $1 / \omega$ singularity, is due to the infinite limit of integration of oscillating function but here we have a completely regular expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\omega}=\int_{0}^{a} f_{0} e^{i \omega t} d t=-\frac{i f_{0}}{\omega}\left(e^{i \omega a}-1\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, the required expression for $x_{\omega}=G_{\omega} f_{\omega}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\omega}=\frac{1}{\omega^{2}+i \omega \gamma-\Omega^{2}} \frac{i f_{0}}{\omega}\left(e^{i \omega a}-1\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The discussion of contour integration and causality must include the arguments about closing the contour in the integral $x(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_{\omega} e^{-i \omega t} d \omega / 2 \pi$ in the top- or bottom-half plane and how the result is related to the position of singularities on the complex plane.
In this problem, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=-i f_{0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-e^{i \omega a}\right) e^{-i \omega t}}{\omega\left(\omega^{2}+i \omega \gamma-\Omega^{2}\right)} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may look like there is a pole at $\omega=0$, but in fact the force $f_{\omega}$ is completely regular at this point. Only the two simple poles of the Green function matter in the bottom half-plane, at $\omega_{1,2}=-\frac{1}{2} i \gamma \pm \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{2}}$. However, the closing of the contour with $\omega=-R e^{i \phi}$ is only clear-cut when $t-a>0$. At shorter times (while the force $f(t)$ is still present), the two exponentials in the numerator have to be treated separately: one requires the closure in the bottom-, the other in the top-half plane. Once they are separated (the bracket $\left(1-e^{i \omega a}\right)$ expanded), the point $\omega=0$ becomes an issue - it will require a careful treatment since the contour passes through this singularity. Yo do not need to do this, just outlining the points above is all that's required.
When $t \gg a$ the closing of integration contour in the bottom half-plane is unambiguous (note the contour direction is clockwise) and the result is

$$
x(t)=i f_{0}(2 \pi i)\left(\frac{\left(1-e^{i \omega_{1} a}\right) e^{-i \omega_{1} t}}{\omega_{1}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right)}+\frac{\left(1-e^{i \omega_{2} a}\right) e^{-i \omega_{2} t}}{\omega_{2}\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{2 \pi}
$$

After a little bit of algebra (pulling out the common factors and uniting trigonometric functions), the full result is

$$
\begin{align*}
x= & -\frac{f_{0} \gamma}{2 \Omega^{2} \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma}}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} \gamma t} \sin \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma} t-e^{-\frac{1}{2} \gamma(t-a)} \sin \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma}(t-a)\right) \\
& -\frac{f_{0} \gamma}{\Omega^{2}}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} \gamma t} \cos \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma} t-e^{-\frac{1}{2} \gamma(t-a)} \cos \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma}(t-a)\right) . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$
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The limit $t \gg a$ is all that's required. It can be implemented (the expansion, retaining only the leading term - the result is zero at $a \rightarrow 0$ ) at any stage, giving the final approximate result

$$
x \approx \frac{a f_{0}}{\sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \gamma t} \sin \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \gamma t} .
$$

Q5. The first integration is very easy, but you need to draw the complex plane and the contours on it. We need to evaluate

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon f(x)}{(x-y)^{2}+\epsilon^{2}} \frac{d x}{\pi}
$$

The denominator has two roots at $x_{1,2}=y \pm i \epsilon$, above and below the real axis.
You can close the contour with a semi-circle at $R \rightarrow \infty$ in either of the half-planes, taking care of the direction of the contour and the resulting sign. In both cases only one pole would be encircled.

The upper half-plane contour gives

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 2 i \frac{\epsilon f\left(x_{1}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{2}}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 2 i \frac{\epsilon f(y+i \epsilon)}{2 i \epsilon}=f(y)
$$

as required.
The second integration is not trivial at all, but the two hints should guide you. Write the product of two gamma functions as a double integral over $d t d s$ :

$$
\Gamma(x) \Gamma(1-x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{[1-x]-1} e^{-s} d s
$$

The recommended substitution does wonders

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{0}^{\infty} u^{x-1} e^{-u s} s^{x-1} s d u s^{-x} e^{-s} d s=\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{x-1} e^{-s(u+1)} d s d u \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first step is achieved by integrating over $s$.
It is necessary to design a contour such as shown in the question because we need to evaluate the integral between 0 and $\infty$. (You may equivalently choose a contour with the cut along the positive axis and the original integral with the pole at $u=-1$, but the one suggested gives the easier value of residue.) The whole close-contour integral

$$
\oint \frac{z^{x-1}}{1-z} d z=-2 \pi i
$$

It consists of two integrals over the big and the small circles, both tending to zero for $0<x<1$, and two integrals along the cut (with $z=u e^{ \pm i \pi}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{R^{x} e^{i \phi x}}{1-R e^{i \phi}} d \phi+\int_{R}^{\epsilon} \frac{u^{x-1} e^{i \pi x} d u}{1+u} & +\int_{\pi}^{-\pi} \frac{\epsilon^{x} e^{i \phi x}}{1-\epsilon e^{i \phi}} d \phi+\int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{u^{x-1} e^{-i \pi x} d u}{1+u} \\
& =\left(e^{-i \pi x}-e^{i \pi x}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{x-1} d u}{1+u}=-2 \pi i \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Identifying the $\sin \pi x$ and dividing through, the required result is obtained.
Q6. The first two parts are straight from the lecture notes: The description of terms should include the mention of dynamic and stochastic forces and the statistical properties of white noise $A(t)$, its second moment is either $\Gamma$ or defined as 1 , with the prefactor $G_{\alpha}^{k}=\sqrt{\Gamma}$. For the free Brownian particle: $\dot{\boldsymbol{v}}=-\gamma \boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{A}(t)$. Strictly, there are two Langevin equations (the second is $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}=\boldsymbol{v}$ ) but with no potential forces, the first is sufficient.
To get full marks here you need to mention the steps of derivation: continuity equation for $f_{A}$, substitution of $\boldsymbol{v}$, Taylor expansion of the exponential containing $A(t)$, averaging over the stochastic force, Wick's theorem, etc.

If you identified all the terms correctly, then the F-P equation is written for you (it is also (8.27) in the course handout booklet):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{v}, t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}}(\gamma \boldsymbol{v} f)+\frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}^{2}} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the classical diffusion equation you do need the coordinate dependence (see above). Either from the full $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v})$-description, substituting the Maxwell $f(v)$, or separately starting from describing the overdamped motion and a "new" Langevin eqn, $\gamma \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}=\boldsymbol{A}(t)$, you should be able to write down

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x}, t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\Gamma}{2 \gamma^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^{2}}, \quad \text { so } D=\Gamma / \gamma^{2} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Returning back to the eq.(25) and setting its l.h.s. to zero you can easily integrate to obtain the equilibrium $f(\boldsymbol{v})$ [with no net velocity, which would arise from an integration constant]:

$$
\frac{d f}{f}=-\frac{2 \gamma}{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{v} d \boldsymbol{v}, \quad f \propto \exp \left[-\frac{\gamma}{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{v}^{2}\right] .
$$

Identifying the exponent with $-\frac{1}{2} m \boldsymbol{v}^{2} / k T$, you obtain

$$
\Gamma=\frac{2 \gamma k T}{m} \quad \text { and } \quad D=\frac{2 k T}{\gamma m} .
$$

